МІНІСТЕРСТВО ОСВІТИ І НАУКИ УКРАЇНИ
МИКОЛАЇВСЬКИЙ НАЦІОНАЛЬНИЙ АГРАРНИЙ УНІВЕРСИТЕТ

Факультет культури й виховання
Кафедра іноземних мов

АНГЛІЙСЬКА МОВА ДЛЯ АКАДЕМІЧНИХ ЦІЛЕЙ

методичні рекомендації та навчальний матеріал для здобувачів третього (доктор філософії) освітньо-наукового рівня вищої освіти усіх ОПП та спеціальностей МНАУ денної форми здобуття вищої освіти

Миколаїв
2025

УДК 811.111
А64

Друкується за рішенням науково-методичної комісії факультету культури й виховання від 27 травня 2025 р., протокол № 9

Укладач:

Т. А. Ганніченко – канд. пед. наук, доцент, доцент кафедри іноземних мов Миколаївського національного аграрного університету

Рецензенти:

Т. О. Мороз – канд. пед. наук, доцент, доцент кафедри перекладу Філологічного факультету НУК імені адмірала Макарова;
К. В. Тішечкіна – канд. філол. наук, доцент, завкафедри іноземних мов Миколаївського національного аграрного університету.

ЗМІСТ

ПЕРЕДМОВА

Методичні рекомендації та навчальний матеріал з навчальної дисципліни *«Англійська мова для академічних цілей»* призначені для здобувачів третього (освітньо-наукового) рівня вищої освіти Миколаївського національного аграрного університету. Видання підготовлено з урахуванням специфіки академічної діяльності аспірантів різних освітньо-професійних програм і спеціальностей, а також сучасних вимог до наукової комунікації англійською мовою.

Матеріал спрямований на формування та розвиток іншомовної комунікативної компетентності, необхідної для ефективної участі у професійному та науковому середовищі: підготовки й представлення результатів досліджень, опанування жанрів академічного письма, здійснення усної наукової комунікації на міжнародних заходах, роботи з автентичними джерелами та взаємодії у глобальному академічному просторі.

У рекомендаціях запропоновано структуровані теми, типові мовні моделі, приклади завдань і комунікативних ситуацій, які відповідають потребам аспірантів денної форми навчання. Матеріал може використовуватися як у межах аудиторної роботи, так і для самостійної підготовки, сприяючи системному розвитку мовних навичок та академічної культури здобувачів третього рівня освіти.

Дане видання може стати практичним інструментом у підвищенні якості наукової діяльності та розширенні можливостей міжнародної академічної взаємодії.

LESSON 1. FUNDAMENTALS OF ACADEMIC ENGLISH AS A MEANS OF EFFECTIVE ORAL SCIENTIFIC COMMUNICATION

TEXT 1: ACADEMIC ENGLISH VS. GENERAL ENGLISH

Academic English is a specialized form of English used in educational and research contexts. Unlike general English, which is used for everyday communication, academic English requires a more formal register, precise vocabulary, and complex sentence structures.

Key Characteristics of Academic English:

1. **Formality**: Academic English avoids colloquialisms, contractions, and personal pronouns. Instead of saying "I think," academic writers use phrases like "It can be argued that..." or "Evidence suggests that..."
2. **Objectivity**: Academic discourse emphasizes facts, evidence, and logical reasoning rather than personal opinions. Researchers present data objectively and avoid emotional language.
3. **Precision**: Every word in academic English is chosen carefully. Technical terminology and discipline-specific vocabulary ensure clarity and accuracy.
4. **Complexity**: Academic texts often contain complex sentences with multiple clauses, passive constructions, and nominalization (turning verbs into nouns, e.g., "discover" becomes "discovery").
5. **Hedging**: Academic writers use hedging language to express caution and avoid overgeneralization. Phrases like "may suggest," "appears to," and "could potentially" indicate measured conclusions.

Differences Between Academic and General English:

Feature	General English	Academic English
Vocabulary	Everyday words	Technical terms, formal vocabulary
Sentence Structure	Simple, short sentences	Complex, compound sentences
Tone	Conversational, personal	Formal, objective

Contractions	Common (can't, won't)	Avoided (cannot, will not)
Personal Pronouns	Frequent (I, you, we)	Limited or avoided
Purpose	Communication, entertainment	Information, analysis, argument

TEXT 2: ACADEMIC VOCABULARY AND COLLOCATIONS

Building a strong academic vocabulary is essential for effective scholarly communication. Academic vocabulary consists of three levels:

1. General Academic Words: These are formal words used across all disciplines (e.g., analyze, demonstrate, significant, substantial, methodology).

2. Discipline-Specific Terms: Technical vocabulary unique to your field (e.g., genome, algorithm, pedagogy, liability).

3. Academic Collocations: Words that frequently appear together in academic contexts:

- Conduct research
- Draw conclusions
- Significant findings
- Theoretical framework
- Empirical evidence
- Preliminary results
- Robust methodology
- Conclusive proof

Common Academic Phrases:

For introducing topics:

- This paper examines...
- The present study investigates...
- The purpose of this research is to...

For presenting evidence:

- Data indicate that...
- Research demonstrates...
- Studies have shown...

For discussing results:

- The findings suggest...
- Results revealed that...
- Analysis indicates...

For drawing conclusions:

- In conclusion, it can be stated...
- These findings support the hypothesis that...
- The evidence points to...

TEXT 3: STRUCTURE OF ACADEMIC SENTENCES

Academic sentences in English follow specific patterns that differ from conversational speech. Understanding these structures is crucial for clear academic communication.

Basic Sentence Components:

1. **Subject** - who or what the sentence is about
2. **Verb** - the action or state
3. **Object** - what receives the action
4. **Complement** - additional information

Example: "Researchers (subject) conducted (verb) extensive experiments (object) to test the hypothesis (complement)."

Complex Academic Structures:

1. Passive Voice Academic writing frequently uses passive constructions to emphasize actions rather than actors:

- Active: "We conducted the experiment in March."
- Passive: "The experiment was conducted in March."

2. Nominalization Converting verbs and adjectives into nouns creates a more formal, abstract style:

- Verb form: "The economy grew rapidly."
- Nominalized: "The rapid growth of the economy..."

3. Subordinate Clauses Academic sentences often contain multiple clauses connected by conjunctions or relative pronouns:

- "The study, which was conducted over three years, revealed significant patterns that had not been previously documented."

4. Prepositional Phrases These add detail and precision:

- "According to recent findings..."
- "In light of previous research..."
- "With respect to the methodology..."

Typical Academic Sentence Patterns:

Pattern 1: Statement + Evidence "Climate change poses significant threats to biodiversity (statement). Recent studies have documented extinction rates 1,000 times higher than natural background rates (evidence)."

Pattern 2: Context + Focus "While previous research has focused on urban areas (context), this study examines rural communities (focus)."

Pattern 3: Problem + Solution "Traditional methods proved inefficient (problem); therefore, a new approach was developed (solution)."

VOCABULARY

Essential Academic Terms

Verbs for Academic Writing:

1. analyze - to examine in detail
2. demonstrate - to show clearly
3. investigate - to research systematically
4. establish - to prove or set up
5. indicate - to point out or suggest
6. identify - to recognize or determine
7. evaluate - to assess the value or importance
8. synthesize - to combine elements into a whole
9. corroborate - to confirm or support
10. refute - to prove wrong

Adjectives for Academic Description:

11. significant - important, meaningful
12. substantial - considerable in amount
13. crucial - extremely important
14. negligible - too small to be important

15. comprehensive - complete, thorough
16. preliminary - initial, introductory
17. rigorous - thorough and careful
18. robust - strong and effective

Connective Words:

19. furthermore, moreover - adding information
20. however, nevertheless - contrasting
21. consequently, therefore - showing result
22. specifically, particularly - emphasizing
23. alternatively - presenting options

EXERCISES

Exercise 1: Identify Academic vs. General English

Read the following pairs of sentences and identify which is academic English and which is general English. Explain your choice.

1. a) Lots of people think social media is bad for kids. b) Research suggests that excessive social media use may negatively impact adolescent mental health.
2. a) The experiment was conducted under controlled laboratory conditions. b) We did the experiment in the lab where everything was controlled.
3. a) Data analysis revealed a statistically significant correlation between variables. b) When we looked at the data, we found that the two things were related.
4. a) I reckon this theory doesn't work in real life. b) The practical application of this theory remains questionable.
5. a) These findings contribute to the growing body of literature on climate adaptation. b) What we found adds to what other people have said about dealing with climate change.

Exercise 2: Transform General to Academic English

Rewrite these sentences in academic English:

1. A lot of scientists think that AI will change everything in the next few years.
2. We did some tests and found out that the new medicine works pretty well.
3. Most experts agree that we need to do something about pollution right now.
4. The research shows that kids who read more do better in school.
5. I think the results mean that we should try a different approach next time.

Exercise 3: Build Academic Collocations

Match the words in Column A with appropriate words in Column B to create academic collocations:

Column A:

1. conduct
2. draw
3. present
4. significant
5. empirical
6. theoretical
7. preliminary
8. robust

Column B: a) findings b) conclusions c) research d) evidence e) framework f) results g) methodology h) data

Exercise 4: Sentence Structure Analysis

Identify the subject, verb, object, and any additional components in these academic sentences:

1. "The study examined the relationship between sleep quality and academic performance among university students."
2. "Researchers at Harvard University have developed a new method for detecting early-stage cancer."
3. "Climate change, which has been extensively documented by scientists worldwide, presents unprecedented challenges for coastal communities."

4. "The data were analyzed using advanced statistical software to identify significant patterns."

Exercise 5: Complete the Academic Text

Fill in the blanks with appropriate academic vocabulary:

"This research _____ (1) the impact of digital technology on traditional learning methods. Previous studies have _____ (2) that technology integration can enhance student engagement. However, the _____ (3) evidence remains inconclusive. The _____ (4) of this study is to provide more _____ (5) data on this phenomenon. A _____ (6) methodology was employed, involving 500 participants across three educational institutions. The findings _____ (7) that while technology offers _____ (8) benefits, its effectiveness depends on proper implementation."

Word bank: examines, demonstrated, empirical, purpose, comprehensive, robust, indicate, significant

Exercise 6: Active to Passive Transformation

Convert these active sentences to passive voice:

1. Researchers conducted the study over a three-year period.
2. The team collected data from 1,000 participants.
3. Scientists have identified several new species in the Amazon rainforest.
4. The university will publish the findings in an academic journal.
5. Experts recommend further investigation into this phenomenon.

Exercise 7: Building Complex Sentences

Combine these simple sentences into one complex academic sentence using appropriate connectors:

1. The experiment was successful. It took longer than expected. The results were significant.
2. Previous research focused on adults. This study examines children. There is a gap in the literature.
3. The methodology was rigorous. The sample size was small. The findings should be interpreted cautiously.

4. Technology improves efficiency. It may reduce personal interaction. Organizations must balance these factors.

Exercise 8: Academic Collocations in Context
Complete these sentences with appropriate academic collocations:

1. The researchers decided to _____ extensive _____ on renewable energy sources.
2. The study's _____ findings suggest a need for further investigation.
3. Based on the data analysis, we can _____ several important _____.
4. The _____ framework provides a foundation for understanding this phenomenon.
5. The results present _____ evidence supporting the hypothesis.

Exercise 9: Hedging Practice
Rewrite these statements using appropriate hedging language:

1. This proves that the theory is correct.
2. The results show that social media causes depression in teenagers.
3. This method is the best approach to solving the problem.
4. The data demonstrate that exercise prevents all diseases.
5. Future research will confirm these findings.

Exercise 10: Identifying Sentence Components
Analyze the following complex academic sentence by identifying:

- Main clause
- Subordinate clauses
- Subject and verb of each clause
- Any prepositional phrases

"Although previous research has primarily focused on urban environments, this comprehensive study, which was conducted across multiple rural communities in Eastern Europe, demonstrates that

similar patterns emerge regardless of geographical location, suggesting that the underlying mechanisms may be universal."

SPEAKING PRACTICE

Activity 1: Academic Presentation

Prepare a 3-minute presentation on your research area using academic English. Include:

- Introduction to your field
- Current research focus
- Significance of your work
- Methodology (briefly)
- Expected outcomes

Use at least 10 academic collocations and practice with a partner who will provide feedback on:

- Formality level
- Vocabulary choices
- Sentence complexity
- Clarity and coherence

Activity 2: Summary Speaking

Read a short academic article (provided by your instructor). Then:

1. Identify the main argument
2. Note key supporting points
3. Present a 2-minute oral summary using academic language
4. Answer questions from classmates

Focus on:

- Using phrases like "The author argues that..." "The study demonstrates..."
- Avoiding personal pronouns
- Maintaining formal register

WRITING PRACTICE

Task 1: Academic Glossary

Create a personal academic glossary containing:

- 20 general academic terms relevant to your field
- 20 discipline-specific terms
- 15 academic collocations
- 10 transition phrases
- 5 sentence starters for different purposes (introducing, contrasting, concluding, etc.)

Format: Term | Definition | Example sentence

Task 2: Paragraph Transformation

Take a paragraph from a popular science article or news source. Rewrite it in academic English, ensuring:

- Formal vocabulary
- Complex sentence structures
- Objective tone
- Proper academic collocations
- No contractions or colloquialisms

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

Your understanding of this unit will be assessed based on:

- Ability to distinguish academic from general English
- Correct use of academic vocabulary and collocations
- Proper sentence structure in academic contexts
- Application of formality and objectivity
- Effective oral presentation using academic register

LESSON 2. PREPARATION FOR PARTICIPATION IN AN INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE.

READING

TEXT 1: UNDERSTANDING CONFERENCE ANNOUNCEMENTS

International academic conferences are vital platforms for researchers to share findings, network with colleagues, and advance their fields. Understanding conference announcements and calls for papers (CFPs) is the first step in successful conference participation.

Types of Academic Conferences:

1. International Conferences: These gatherings bring together researchers from around the world to discuss cutting-edge developments in specific fields. They typically feature keynote speeches, panel discussions, parallel sessions, and poster presentations.

2. Regional Conferences: Focused on particular geographical areas, these conferences address local issues while maintaining international academic standards.

3. Specialized Symposia: Small, focused meetings on very specific topics within a discipline, usually featuring invited speakers and limited participants.

4. Annual Meetings: Regular gatherings of professional associations where members present research, elect leadership, and discuss field-wide concerns.

Key Components of Conference Announcements:

Conference Theme: The overarching topic or focus area. For example: "Sustainable Development in the 21st Century: Challenges and Opportunities."

Scope: Detailed description of acceptable topics and subtopics. A conference on artificial intelligence might include tracks on machine learning, natural language processing, computer vision, and ethics in AI.

Important Dates:

- Abstract submission deadline
- Notification of acceptance
- Early bird registration deadline
- Full paper submission (if required)
- Conference dates

Submission Requirements:

- Abstract length (typically 250-500 words)
- Format specifications (Word, PDF, LaTeX)
- Number of authors allowed
- Whether original research only or reviews accepted

Presentation Formats:

- **Oral presentations**: 15-20 minute talks followed by Q&A
- **Poster presentations**: Visual displays of research for informal discussion
- **Panel discussions**: Multiple experts discussing a topic
- **Workshops**: Interactive sessions teaching specific skills or methods

Review Process:

- Single-blind (reviewers know authors' identities)
- Double-blind (both parties anonymous)
- Open review (all information public)

Publication Opportunities:

- Conference proceedings
- Special journal issues
- Edited volumes

TEXT 2: ANATOMY OF A CALL FOR PAPERS

A Call for Papers (CFP) is a formal invitation for researchers to submit their work for presentation at a conference. Understanding its structure is essential for successful submission.

EXAMPLE CFP:

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON DIGITAL HUMANITIES 2025 "Bridging Past and Future: Technology in Historical Research"

Conference Dates: June 15-18, 2025 **Location**: University of Edinburgh, Scotland **Organizers**: Digital Humanities Association & University of Edinburgh

CALL FOR PAPERS

The organizing committee invites submissions for the 12th International Conference on Digital Humanities. We welcome original research papers, case studies, and innovative project presentations that explore the intersection of humanities scholarship and digital technologies.

Conference Theme:

This year's theme examines how digital tools are transforming historical research, preservation, and public engagement. We particularly encourage submissions addressing:

- Digital archives and preservation
- Text mining and analysis of historical documents
- 3D reconstruction of historical sites
- Public history and digital engagement
- Ethical considerations in digitizing cultural heritage
- Machine learning applications in humanities research
- Virtual and augmented reality in historical education

Submission Categories:

1. **Full Research Papers** (6,000-8,000 words): Original research with substantial findings
2. **Short Papers** (3,000-4,000 words): Work in progress or focused studies
3. **Poster Presentations**: Visual summaries of projects or preliminary findings

4. **Panel Proposals**: 90-minute sessions with 4-5 speakers

5. **Workshop Proposals**: Half-day or full-day interactive sessions

Submission Requirements:

- **Abstracts**: 300-500 words clearly stating research question, methodology, and significance
- **Keywords**: 4-6 keywords for indexing
- **Author Information**: Names, affiliations, email addresses, brief bios (100 words)
- **Format**: PDF or Word document, Times New Roman 12pt, double-spaced
- **Language**: English (British or American spelling acceptable throughout)
- **Anonymization**: Remove all identifying information for double-blind review

Review Criteria:

- Originality and innovation
- Methodological rigor
- Relevance to conference theme
- Clarity of presentation
- Significance of findings

Important Dates:

- Abstract Submission Deadline: January 31, 2025
- Notification of Acceptance: March 15, 2025
- Early Bird Registration: April 30, 2025
- Full Paper Submission: May 15, 2025
- Conference Dates: June 15-18, 2025

Registration Fees:

- Early Bird (before April 30): £350 (students £200)
- Regular: £450 (students £250)
- On-site: £550 (students £300)

Submission Platform: www.digitalhumanities2025.org/submissions

Contact: conference@digitalhumanities2025.org

ANALYZING THE CFP:

This CFP contains all essential elements:

1. Clear theme and scope
2. Specific submission categories
3. Detailed requirements
4. Transparent review process
5. Critical deadlines
6. Financial information

TEXT 3: PREPARING YOUR CONFERENCE ABSTRACT

An abstract is your first impression on conference reviewers. A well-crafted abstract should be concise, clear, and compelling, convincing reviewers that your work merits presentation.

Structure of an Effective Abstract:

1. Background/Context (1-2 sentences) Establish the research area and why it matters: "Digital transformation has revolutionized how historians access and analyze primary sources, yet challenges remain in ensuring equitable access to digitized materials."

2. Research Gap/Problem (1-2 sentences) Identify what's missing or problematic: "Despite extensive digitization efforts, many archives lack standardized metadata, hindering discoverability and cross-archive research."

3. Research Question/Objective (1 sentence) State clearly what your study addresses: "This study examines how implementing linked open data standards can improve accessibility and interoperability of digital historical archives."

4. Methodology (2-3 sentences) Explain your approach: "We conducted a comparative analysis of metadata practices across twelve European national archives. Using the CIDOC Conceptual Reference Model, we developed and tested a standardized framework for historical document metadata."

5. Key Findings (2-3 sentences) Present main results (even if preliminary): "Our framework successfully enabled cross-archive

searches across 85% of tested queries. Implementation time averaged six months per archive. Researchers reported 40% improvement in research efficiency."

6. Significance/Implications (1-2 sentences) Explain why this matters: "These findings demonstrate the feasibility of large-scale metadata standardization and provide a roadmap for archives seeking to improve digital accessibility."

Common Abstract Mistakes:

✕ **Too vague**: "This paper discusses some issues in digital archives." ✓ **Specific**: "This paper identifies three critical barriers to cross-archive searching and proposes technical solutions."

✕ **No methodology**: "We looked at various archives." ✓ **Clear method**: "We conducted semi-structured interviews with 45 archivists and analyzed metadata from 12,000 documents."

✕ **Missing results**: "Findings will be discussed." ✓ **Concrete outcomes**: "Results indicate a 35% improvement in discoverability."

✕ **Too technical**: Excessive jargon that excludes broader audience ✓ **Accessible**: Technical precision with clear explanations

TEXT 4: ACADEMIC CORRESPONDENCE FOR CONFERENCES

Professional communication with conference organizers and potential collaborators requires appropriate tone, format, and content.

EMAIL TO CONFERENCE ORGANIZERS:

Subject: Inquiry Regarding Submission Requirements - DH2025

Dear Conference Committee,

I am writing to inquire about the submission requirements for the International Conference on Digital Humanities 2025. I am particularly interested in presenting research on machine learning applications in medieval manuscript analysis.

Could you please clarify whether submissions incorporating both computational methods and traditional historical analysis should

be submitted as full research papers or would be more appropriate as interdisciplinary panel proposals?

Additionally, I would appreciate information regarding:

1. The preferred citation style for references in full papers
2. Whether co-authored submissions require all authors to register
3. Possibilities for remote presentation should travel restrictions arise

Thank you for your time and assistance. I look forward to your response.

Best regards, Dr. Anna Kowalski Assistant Professor, Digital Humanities University of Warsaw a.kowalski@uw.edu.pl

Key Elements:

- Clear subject line
- Formal greeting
- Specific questions
- Professional signature
- Complete contact information

TEXT 5: WRITING A COMPELLING COVER LETTER

When submitting to conferences or applying for grants, a cover letter introduces your work and makes a case for its inclusion.

SAMPLE COVER LETTER:

Dear Selection Committee,

I am pleased to submit my paper entitled "Machine Learning Approaches to Medieval Manuscript Attribution" for consideration at the International Conference on Digital Humanities 2025.

This research addresses a significant challenge in medieval studies: determining manuscript authorship when traditional paleographic analysis proves inconclusive. By combining computer vision algorithms with expert knowledge, our method achieves 89% accuracy in attributing unsigned manuscripts to known scribes.

This work aligns closely with the conference theme "Bridging Past and Future" by demonstrating how cutting-edge technology can

solve centuries-old historical puzzles while respecting traditional scholarly expertise. The methodology is applicable across various historical periods and document types, making it relevant to a broad audience within the digital humanities community.

The research has been conducted over two years with funding from the European Research Council. Preliminary findings were presented at the Medieval Academy Annual Meeting (2024), where they generated substantial interest and constructive feedback that has been incorporated into this manuscript.

I believe this work will contribute significantly to conference discussions on technology in historical research and would welcome the opportunity to present these findings to the digital humanities community.

Thank you for considering this submission.

Sincerely, Dr. Anna Kowalski

Cover Letter Components:

1. **Opening**: State purpose (submission) and paper title
2. **Research significance**: Explain what problem you solve
3. **Conference relevance**: Connect to theme
4. **Credibility indicators**: Funding, preliminary presentations, affiliations
5. **Contribution statement**: Why this benefits the conference
6. **Professional closing**: Thanks and signature

VOCABULARY

Conference-Related Terms

Registration & Participation:

1. attendee/delegate - conference participant
2. keynote speaker - main featured speaker
3. plenary session - session attended by all participants
4. parallel session - concurrent presentations in different rooms
5. poster session - visual display presentations
6. proceedings - published collection of conference papers
7. abstract book - compilation of all presentation abstracts

Submission Process:

8. call for papers (CFP) - invitation to submit
9. submission deadline - last date for submission
10. peer review - evaluation by experts
11. acceptance rate - percentage of submissions accepted
12. camera-ready version - final formatted manuscript

Presentation Terms:

13. Q&A session - question and answer period
14. moderator/chair - session leader
15. time slot - scheduled presentation time
16. presentation slides - visual aids
17. handout - printed materials for audience

Administrative Terms:

18. organizing committee - group managing conference
19. program committee - group selecting presentations
20. conference venue - location
21. registration fee - participation cost
22. early bird discount - reduced fee for early registration

EXERCISES

Exercise 1: Analyzing a CFP

Read the following abbreviated CFP and answer the questions:

"Global Climate Conference 2025" Abstract deadline: February 28, 2025 Full paper: April 30, 2025 Conference: June 20-23, 2025 Word limit: 300-400 words Topics: Climate modeling, adaptation strategies, policy analysis Format: Oral and poster presentations Review: Double-blind Fee: $400 (students $200)

Questions:

1. When is the latest you can submit your abstract?
2. If accepted, when must you submit the full paper?
3. What should you remove from your submission for double-blind review?
4. How much will you pay if you're a student?
5. What are your presentation options?
6.

Exercise 2: Writing Exercise - Abstract Components

For your own research topic (or a hypothetical one), write each component of an abstract:

1. Background (1-2 sentences)
2. Research gap (1 sentence)
3. Research question (1 sentence)
4. Methodology (2 sentences)
5. Key findings (2 sentences)
6. Significance (1-2 sentences)

Then combine into a complete 250-300 word abstract.

Exercise 3: Email Etiquette

Identify what's wrong with this email to conference organizers:

"Hey,

got a question about your conference. can i submit something on AI? also when's the deadline? i might be late is that ok???

thanks john"

Now rewrite it professionally.

Exercise 4: Matching Exercise

Match the conference term with its definition:

1. Proceedings
2. Keynote
3. Plenary
4. Poster session
5. Parallel sessions
6. Workshop
7. Panel discussion
8. Abstract book

a) Multiple simultaneous presentations b) Main featured presentation c) Published conference papers d) Interactive learning session e) Collection of presentation summaries f) Visual presentation format g) Session for all attendees h) Group discussion on a topic

Exercise 5: Timeline Creation

Based on this CFP information, create a personal timeline:

- Abstract deadline: March 1
- Notification: April 15
- Registration deadline: May 1
- Full paper: May 15
- Conference: June 10-12

Include:

- When you'll start writing abstract
- When you'll have colleagues review it
- When you'll submit
- When you'll register
- When you'll prepare presentation
- Travel arrangements timing

Exercise 6: Abstract Evaluation

Read this abstract and identify:

- Which components are present/missing
- What could be improved
- Whether it follows good practices

"Social media has become very important in modern society. Many people use it every day. This paper will look at some issues related to social media use. We will discuss various problems. The results will show some interesting things about how people use social media. This research is important because social media affects everyone."

Then rewrite it as a strong academic abstract.

Exercise 7: Cover Letter Practice

Write a cover letter for submitting a conference paper on a topic in your field. Include:

- Appropriate greeting
- Paper title and purpose
- Research significance
- Conference relevance
- Your qualifications

- Professional closing

Exercise 8: Identifying Keywords

From this research description, identify 5-6 appropriate keywords:

"This study investigates the impact of gamification techniques on student engagement in online learning environments. Using a mixed-methods approach, we analyzed learning analytics from 500 university students and conducted focus groups to understand their experiences. Results indicate that game elements like points, badges, and leaderboards increased participation by 45% but had mixed effects on deep learning outcomes."

Exercise 9: Question Formulation

You need to contact conference organizers. Formulate professional questions about:

1. Clarifying whether your topic fits the conference scope
2. Asking about virtual presentation options
3. Inquiring about publication of proceedings
4. Requesting extension of abstract deadline
5. Asking about visa letter for international travel

Exercise 10: CFP Writing

Create a brief Call for Papers for a hypothetical conference in your field. Include:

- Conference title and theme
- Submission categories
- Key topics
- Submission requirements
- Important dates
- Contact information

SPEAKING PRACTICE

Activity 1: Elevator Pitch

Prepare a 60-second description of your potential conference presentation that includes:

- Your research topic
- Why it matters
- Your main finding or argument
- Why it's relevant to the conference

Practice with a partner and get feedback on clarity and conciseness.

Activity 2: Role Play

Student A: Conference organizer **Student B**: Potential presenter with questions

Scenario: Student B calls to inquire about submission requirements, presentation formats, and whether their interdisciplinary topic fits the conference scope.

Activity 3: Peer Review Simulation

Exchange abstracts with a classmate. Review their abstract using these criteria:

- Clarity of research question
- Appropriate methodology
- Significance of findings
- Conference relevance
- Writing quality

Provide constructive feedback orally, then discuss how to improve both abstracts.

WRITING TASKS

Task 1: Complete Conference Application

Find a real CFP in your field (your instructor can provide one). Prepare:

1. Complete abstract (following their specifications)
2. Brief bio (100 words)
3. List of keywords
4. Cover letter explaining why your work fits

Task 2: Response to Acceptance

Your conference abstract was accepted. Write:

1. Acknowledgment email
2. Questions about presentation logistics
3. Request for information about publication

Task 3: Collaborative Proposal

With a partner, develop a panel proposal that includes:

1. Panel title and description
2. Four potential speakers and their topics
3. How the panel addresses the conference theme
4. Audience and expected outcomes

ASSESSMENT

Your conference preparation skills will be evaluated on:

1. Understanding of CFP components
2. Quality of abstract writing
3. Professional correspondence
4. Ability to meet requirements
5. Strategic planning for submission

LESSON 3. PRESENTATION SKILLS IN THE ACADEMIC ENVIRONMENT

READING

TEXT 1: PRINCIPLES OF EFFECTIVE ACADEMIC PRESENTATIONS

Delivering an academic presentation requires more than just reading your research aloud. Effective presenters engage their audience, communicate complex ideas clearly, and leave lasting impressions that advance scholarly dialogue.

The 7 Cs of Academic Presentations:

1. Clarity: Your message should be immediately understandable. Avoid jargon when simpler terms suffice, define necessary technical terms, and structure your talk logically. Remember: your audience has only one chance to hear and understand your words.

2. Conciseness: Academic conferences typically allow 15-20 minutes per presentation. This limited time demands careful selection of content. Focus on your most important findings and implications rather than attempting to cover everything.

3. Coherence: Each part of your presentation should connect logically to the next. Use signposting language to guide your audience: "First, I'll discuss the background, then present our methodology, followed by key findings, and finally, implications for future research."

4. Confidence: Project authority on your subject through steady voice, eye contact, and command of your material. Confidence comes from thorough preparation and genuine enthusiasm for your research.

5. Courtesy: Respect your audience's time, intelligence, and attention. Finish on schedule, acknowledge others' contributions, and be gracious during Q&A even when challenged.

6. Creativity: While maintaining academic rigor, find engaging ways to present your research. Thoughtful visual aids,

relevant examples, and compelling narratives make complex research accessible.

7. Connection: Link your research to broader conversations in your field. Show how your work addresses gaps, challenges assumptions, or opens new directions. Help the audience understand why your research matters.

Understanding Your Audience:

Academic audiences are knowledgeable but diverse. A conference session might include:

1. Established scholars in your specific subfield
2. Researchers from related areas
3. Graduate students exploring new topics
4. Interdisciplinary scholars
5. Industry professionals

This diversity requires balancing specificity with accessibility. Provide enough detail to satisfy experts while explaining core concepts for those less familiar with your particular focus.

Structure of Academic Presentations:

Introduction (2-3 minutes):

1. Hook: Capture attention with a compelling question, surprising statistic, or relevant anecdote
2. Context: Establish your research area
3. Problem: Identify the gap or issue you address
4. Thesis: State your main argument or research question clearly
5. Roadmap: Preview your presentation structure

Body (10-12 minutes):

1. Background/Literature Review (brief): Position your work within existing scholarship
2. Methodology: Explain your research approach
3. Findings: Present key results with supporting evidence
4. Analysis: Interpret what your findings mean

Conclusion (2-3 minutes):

1. Summary: Reinforce main points
2. Implications: Explain significance for theory, practice, or policy
3. Limitations: Acknowledge boundaries of your study

4. Future Research: Suggest directions for continued work
5. Call to Action: What should scholars, practitioners, or policymakers do with this information?

Q&A (5-10 minutes):

1. Listen carefully to questions
2. Clarify if needed before answering
3. Be honest about limitations
4. Thank questioners

TEXT 2: VISUAL AIDS IN ACADEMIC PRESENTATIONS

Visual materials enhance understanding, but poorly designed slides can confuse or distract. Effective visual aids complement your oral presentation without overwhelming it.

Slide Design Principles:

The 6×6 Rule: Maximum six bullets per slide, six words per bullet. While not absolute, this guideline prevents overcrowded slides that audience members read instead of listening to you.

Example of overcrowded slide:

"This research project, which was conducted over a period of approximately three years with funding from the National Science Foundation, involved extensive fieldwork in twelve different countries across three continents where we collected both quantitative and qualitative data through surveys, interviews, and ethnographic observation to understand the complex relationships between economic development, environmental sustainability, and social equity in developing nations."

Better approach ✓:

Slide 1: Research Overview

1. 3-year study (NSF funded)
2. 12 countries, 3 continents
3. Mixed methods approach

Slide 2: Research Question "How do economic development, environmental sustainability, and social equity intersect in developing nations?"

Typography and Readability:

Font choices significantly impact readability:

1. Sans-serif fonts (Arial, Calibri, Helvetica): Clean, modern, excellent for screens
2. Serif fonts (Times New Roman, Garamond): Traditional, better for printed documents than slides
3. Minimum font size: 24pt for body text, 36pt+ for headings
4. Consistent formatting: Same fonts, sizes, and colors throughout

Color Considerations:

Effective color use enhances comprehension:

1. High contrast: Dark text on light background or vice versa
2. Color blindness: Avoid red-green combinations; use patterns in addition to colors
3. Cultural meanings: Colors carry different associations across cultures
4. Limited palette: 2-3 main colors maximum
5. Institutional colors: Many universities provide brand guidelines

Types of Visual Aids:

1. Text Slides Use sparingly for:

- Key definitions
- Important quotations
- Research questions
- Main conclusions

Example:

"The findings suggest that participatory approaches to development significantly improve project sustainability compared to top-down interventions."

2. Graphs and Charts

Line graphs: Show trends over time

- GDP growth 2010-2020
- Temperature changes
- Population trends

Bar charts: Compare discrete categories

- Budget allocations across departments
- Survey responses by demographic group

- Performance across different conditions

Pie charts: Show proportions of a whole

- Budget breakdown
- Market share
- Demographic composition

Warning: Pie charts become confusing with more than 5-6 segments

Scatter plots: Show relationships between variables

- Correlation between variables
- Distribution patterns
- Outlier identification

Best practices for graphs:

- Clear, descriptive titles
- Labeled axes with units
- Legible legends
- Simplified: remove unnecessary gridlines and decorations
- Highlighted key data points

3. Tables

Tables work well for precise numerical comparisons but can overwhelm audiences:

Too complex: [A table with 15 rows and 8 columns of detailed statistics]

✓ **Better**: [A table with 4-5 key rows highlighting most important comparisons, with note: "Complete data available in proceedings"]

4. Images and Photographs

Visual documentation enhances credibility:

- Research sites
- Equipment or materials
- Participants (with consent)
- Case study examples
- Historical documents

Requirements:

- High resolution (minimum 300 dpi for printed, 150 dpi for screen)

- Proper attribution and copyright clearance
- Relevant to content, not decorative
- Clear captions

5. Diagrams and Models

Conceptual diagrams illustrate:

- Theoretical frameworks
- Process flows
- Relationships between concepts
- Research design

Example: A diagram showing how three variables interact, with arrows indicating relationships and feedback loops.

6. Video and Audio Clips

Multimedia can powerfully demonstrate:

- Interview excerpts
- Experimental procedures
- Field observations
- Artistic performances

Technical considerations:

- Test equipment beforehand
- Have backup plan if technology fails
- Keep clips brief (30-60 seconds)
- Ensure good audio quality
- Obtain necessary permissions

Common Visual Aid Mistakes:

✕ **Slide reading**: Presenter reads text verbatim from slides ✓ **Solution**: Slides show keywords; you elaborate orally

✕ **Too much content**: Slides crammed with text, numbers, or images ✓ **Solution**: One main idea per slide

✕ **Distracting animations**: Excessive transitions, sounds, flying text ✓ **Solution**: Simple, professional transitions or none at all

✕ **Illegible elements**: Tiny fonts, low contrast, complex graphs ✓ **Solution**: Large, clear, simplified visuals

✕ **Irrelevant images**: Decorative clipart or stock photos ✓ **Solution**: Meaningful images that support content

TEXT 3: DELIVERING YOUR PRESENTATION

Preparation extends beyond creating slides. Delivery skills—how you speak, move, and engage—significantly impact your presentation's effectiveness.

Voice and Speech:

Volume: Project your voice to reach the back of the room. Practice in a similar-sized space beforehand. If using a microphone, test it and maintain consistent distance.

Pace: Nervous speakers tend to rush. Aim for 120-150 words per minute. Pause between major points to let information sink in. Silence is powerful—don't fear brief pauses.

Articulation: Pronounce words clearly, especially technical terms. If your native language isn't English, practice challenging words beforehand. Speak slightly slower than in casual conversation.

Vocal variety: Vary your pitch and tone to maintain interest. Emphasize key points through volume changes or slower pace. Avoid monotone delivery that lulls audiences to sleep.

Filler words: Minimize "um," "uh," "like," and "you know." Brief pauses are preferable. Record yourself practicing to identify patterns.

Body Language:

Posture: Stand straight with shoulders back, projecting confidence. Avoid leaning on the podium or swaying. Distribute weight evenly.

Eye contact: Look at different audience members throughout your talk, not just your slides or notes. In Western academic contexts, eye contact signals honesty and confidence. Aim for 3-5 seconds per person or section of the room.

Gestures: Natural hand movements emphasize points and convey enthusiasm. Avoid:

- Crossing arms (appears defensive)
- Hands in pockets (too casual)
- Fidgeting with pointers, pens, or jewelry
- Repetitive gestures (becomes distracting)

Movement: If space allows, move purposefully:

- Step forward when making important points
- Move to different areas to engage various audience sections
- Avoid pacing nervously or blocking the screen

Facial expressions: Smile appropriately (especially during introduction and conclusion). Your face should reflect your content—serious for sobering findings, enthusiastic about exciting discoveries.

Managing Nerves:

Even experienced presenters feel anxiety. Strategies include:

Before the presentation:

- Prepare thoroughly—confidence comes from knowing your material
- Practice multiple times, preferably before colleagues
- Visit the room beforehand to familiarize yourself
- Visualize success
- Exercise to reduce stress hormones
- Get adequate sleep

During the presentation:

- Take deep breaths before beginning
- Remember the audience wants you to succeed
- Focus on your message, not yourself
- If you make a mistake, acknowledge briefly and continue
- Look for friendly faces in the audience

Engaging Your Audience:

Interactive elements:

- **Rhetorical questions**: "What might explain this surprising result?"
- **Brief polls**: "By show of hands, how many have experienced this problem?"
- **Think-pair-share**: For workshops, have audience discuss briefly with neighbors
- **Demonstrations**: Physical demonstrations of concepts when possible
- **Storytelling**: Research narratives make abstract concepts concrete:
- Describe a typical case from your study

- Share how you became interested in this topic
- Explain a methodological challenge and solution
- Use analogies to relate complex ideas to familiar experiences

Example: Instead of: "The algorithm optimizes resource allocation through iterative processing." Try: "Imagine you're organizing a conference with limited rooms and many sessions. Our algorithm works like a very smart scheduler, trying different arrangements thousands of times per second until finding the best fit."

Timing and Pacing:

Practice timing: Your presentation should fit comfortably within allocated time, leaving 2-3 minutes for Q&A. Practice multiple times, timing yourself.

Adjust on the fly: If running over:

- Skip less critical examples
- Summarize sections more briefly
- Say: "In the interest of time, I'll move to our key findings"

Never: Rush through your conclusion or go significantly over time. Both show poor planning and disrespect the audience and subsequent speakers.

Signpost language: Help audiences follow your structure:

- "I'll begin by discussing the background..."
- "Now turning to our methodology..."
- "This brings us to our main findings..."
- "To summarize the key points..."

TEXT 4: INTRODUCTION AND CONCLUSION TECHNIQUES

The opening and closing of your presentation create lasting impressions. Master these bookends for maximum impact.

Effective Introduction Strategies:

1. The Problem-Solution Opening Present a pressing problem, then position your research as addressing it: "Every year, hospitals dispose of 5 million tons of medical waste, much of it recyclable. Yet current disposal methods cost healthcare systems billions while harming the environment. Our research proposes a novel sorting system that reduces waste by 40% while cutting costs."

2. The Surprising Statistic Lead with unexpected data that challenges assumptions: "Most people assume solar energy is too expensive for developing nations. Yet our study of 20 African countries found that solar installations actually cost less than traditional power infrastructure within five years."

3. The Personal Narrative Share relevant experience that motivated your research: "During fieldwork in rural India, I met a farmer whose crop yield had declined 30% in five years. His story, repeated across the region, sparked this investigation into climate adaptation strategies."

4. The Historical Context Connect present research to past events or discoveries: "When Watson and Crick discovered DNA's structure in 1953, they couldn't have imagined CRISPR gene editing. Today, we stand at a similar threshold as artificial intelligence transforms how we understand human learning."

5. The Provocative Question Challenge audience assumptions: "What if everything we think we know about organizational leadership is wrong? What if the traits we value most actually predict failure rather than success?"

What to Avoid in Introductions:

✕ Apologizing: "I'm not an expert, but..." undermines credibility

✕ Thanking excessively: Brief thanks are fine; lengthy acknowledgments waste time

✕ Technical overload: Don't begin with complex formulas or jargon

✕ Reading your abstract: The audience has likely read it already

✕ False modesty: "This is just preliminary work..." diminishes your research

Self-Introduction:

Include briefly:

- Name and institutional affiliation
- Your role/position

– Relevant expertise for this topic

"I'm Dr. Maria Gonzalez, Assistant Professor of Environmental Engineering at the Technical University of Madrid. My research focuses on sustainable water management in arid regions."

Effective Conclusion Strategies:

1. Circle Back Return to your opening hook with new understanding: "Remember the farmer I mentioned? After implementing our proposed adaptation strategies, his yield not only recovered but exceeded previous levels. His success story is now replicated across 50 communities."

2. The So What? Statement Explicitly state your research's significance: "These findings fundamentally change how we understand language acquisition. Rather than viewing bilingualism as exceptional, we should recognize it as the norm that our educational systems must accommodate."

3. Call to Action Tell your audience what they should do: "I encourage researchers to replicate this study in other contexts. Policymakers should consider these findings when designing urban planning regulations. Practitioners can implement these methods using the toolkit we've made freely available."

4. Future Directions Identify promising research paths: "This study opens three exciting avenues for future research: first, long-term longitudinal studies; second, application to other demographic groups; third, integration with emerging AI technologies."

5. The Memorable Quotation End with words that resonate (use sparingly and only when truly relevant): "As anthropologist Margaret Mead once said, 'Never doubt that a small group of thoughtful, committed citizens can change the world.' Our research shows how such groups form, mobilize, and succeed."

What to Avoid in Conclusions:

✕ New information: Don't introduce fresh arguments

✕ Trailing off: "So, yeah, that's basically it..."

✕ Overreaching: Don't claim more than your data support

✕ Repeating verbatim: Restate key points differently, don't just reread them

✕ Abrupt endings: Signal clearly you're concluding

The Final Slide:

Your last slide should remain visible during Q&A. Include:

- "Thank you" or "Questions?"
- Your name and contact information
- Key references or resources
- QR code to full paper or supplementary materials

VOCABULARY

Presentation Language:

Opening phrases:

- "Thank you for the opportunity to present..."
- "Today I'll be discussing..."
- "This presentation addresses..."
- "I'm delighted to share our findings on..."

Signposting:

- "First, I'll outline..."
- "Moving on to..."
- "This brings us to..."
- "Let me now turn to..."
- "To illustrate this point..."
- "As you can see from this slide..."

Emphasizing:

- "It's important to note that..."
- "I'd like to stress..."
- "The key point here is..."
- "This is particularly significant because..."
- "What stands out is..."

Explaining visuals:

- "This graph illustrates..."
- "As shown in Figure 3..."
- "The data reveal..."
- "Notice the trend toward..."
- "The vertical axis represents..."

Transitions:

- "Having discussed X, let's consider Y..."
- "This leads directly to..."
- "In contrast..."
- "Similarly..."
- "Building on this point..."

Concluding:

- "To sum up..."
- "In conclusion..."
- "The takeaway message is..."
- "These findings suggest..."
- "This research demonstrates..."

Q&A phrases:

- "That's an excellent question..."
- "If I understand correctly, you're asking..."
- "Let me clarify..."
- "I don't have data on that specifically, but..."
- "That's beyond the scope of this study, but..."

EXERCISES

Exercise 1: Presentation Structure

Reorganize these elements into a logical presentation structure:

a) Detailed methodology b) Literature review c) Opening hook d) Research question e) Key findings f) Implications for practice g) Limitations h) Thank you and Q&A i) Future research directions j) Brief background

Exercise 2: Slide Critique. Evaluate this slide and list all problems:

Background And Literature Review On The Historical Development Of Renewable Energy Technologies With Particular Focus On Solar Photovoltaic Systems

•The history of solar energy dates back to ancient civilizations including the Greeks and Romans who used solar architecture

• In 1839, French physicist Edmond Becquerel discovered the photovoltaic effect which is the fundamental principle underlying solar panels

• Throughout the 20th century, numerous scientists and engineers contributed to the development of increasingly efficient solar cells

• The oil crisis of the 1970s sparked renewed interest in alternative energy sources including solar power

• Recent decades have seen dramatic improvements in efficiency and reductions in cost

• Current research focuses on perovskite solar cells, organic photovoltaics, and bifacial modules

• Policy support through feed-in tariffs and renewable portfolio standards has accelerated adoption

• Despite progress, challenges remain including intermittency, storage, and grid integration

Now redesign it effectively.

Exercise 3: Opening Hooks

Write three different opening hooks for a presentation on your research topic (or a provided topic):

1. Using a surprising statistic
2. Using a personal anecdote
3. Using a provocative question

Exercise 4: Visual Data Description

Look at this data and write what you would say while presenting it:

Year	Solar Capacity (GW)	Wind Capacity (GW)
2015	227	433
2017	405	539
2019	627	651
2021	940	837

Your description should:

1. Highlight the main trend
2. Make specific comparisons
3. Explain significance
4. Be 3-4 sentences

Exercise 5: Filler Word Awareness

Record yourself speaking for 2 minutes about your research. Then:

1. Count your filler words (um, uh, like, you know, etc.)
2. Identify patterns (when do they occur most?)
3. Practice the same content again, using pauses instead
4. Compare the two recordings

Exercise 6: Time Management

You have 15 minutes to present, but your practiced talk runs 20 minutes. Decide what to cut:

Current structure:

- Introduction: 3 minutes
- Literature review: 4 minutes
- Methodology: 5 minutes
- Results: 4 minutes
- Discussion: 3 minutes
- Conclusion: 1 minute

Which sections would you trim and how?

Exercise 7: Answering Difficult Questions

Prepare responses to these challenging Q&A scenarios:

1. "Your sample size seems quite small. How can you justify these conclusions?"
2. "This contradicts the findings of Smith et al. (2022). Can you explain the discrepancy?"
3. "Have you considered applying method X instead? It seems more appropriate."

4. "I didn't understand your third point. Could you explain it again?"
5. "This research seems to have limited practical application. Why should practitioners care?"

Exercise 8: Slide Redesign

Take a paragraph from an academic paper and design:

1. One text-based slide
2. One visual-based slide (graph, diagram, or image)
3. One combined approach

Paragraph: "Our study examined 500 participants across three age groups: 18-30, 31-50, and 51-70. We found that social media usage declined with age, from an average of 4.2 hours daily in the youngest group to 1.8 hours in the oldest group. However, satisfaction with social media remained constant across age groups at approximately 6.5 on a 10-point scale."

Exercise 9: Body Language Awareness

Video record yourself presenting for 3 minutes. Evaluate:

Aspect	Good	Needs Improvement
Posture		
Eye contact		
Hand gestures		
Facial expressions		
Movement		
Nervous habits		

Identify your top two areas for improvement.

Exercise 10: Conclusion Crafting

Write a strong conclusion (150-200 words) for a presentation on climate change adaptation in coastal cities. Include:

- Summary of key findings
- Significance statement
- Implications for policy/practice

- Future research directions
- Memorable closing

SPEAKING PRACTICE

Activity 1: Lightning Presentation

Prepare and deliver a 3-minute presentation on your research including:

1. Opening hook
2. Research question
3. One key finding
4. Significance statement
5. Strong conclusion

Use only 3-5 slides. Partners provide feedback on:

1. Timing
2. Clarity
3. Engagement
4. Structure

Activity 2: Slide-Free Challenge

Present for 2 minutes about your methodology WITHOUT slides. Focus on:

1. Clear explanation
2. Logical sequence
3. Verbal signposting
4. Using gestures to illustrate concepts

This builds skills for informal discussions and situations where technology fails.

Activity 3: Q&A Simulation

After your presentation, classmates ask:

- 2 clarification questions
- 2 challenging questions
- 1 connection question (relating to their work)

Practice:

- Listening carefully

- Pausing before answering
- Staying composed
- Being concise
- Admitting limitations when appropriate

Activity 4: Peer Feedback Session

Present 5 minutes of your talk to a small group. They provide structured feedback on:

Content (1-5 scale):

- Clear research question
- Logical structure
- Evidence quality
- Significance communicated

Delivery (1-5 scale):

- Voice (volume, pace, clarity)
- Body language
- Eye contact
- Engagement

Visuals (1-5 scale):

- Readability
- Relevance
- Design quality
- Integration with talk

Written comments:

- One thing done very well
- One priority for improvement
- One question that remains unanswered

Activity 5: Elevator Pitch Development

Develop a 60-second version of your presentation for:

1. A specialist in your field
2. A researcher from a different discipline
3. An interested non-academic

Notice how you adapt:

- Technical vocabulary

- Level of detail
- Examples used
- What you emphasize

WRITING TASKS

Task 1: Presentation Script

Write a full script for your 15-minute presentation including:

- Exact opening words
- Main points with supporting details
- Transitions between sections
- What you'll say about each visual
- Closing remarks

Then practice delivering it naturally, not reading verbatim.

Task 2: Slide Deck Creation

Create a complete slide deck (12-15 slides) for your research including:

- Title slide
- Outline/agenda
- Background (1-2 slides)
- Research question
- Methodology (2-3 slides)
- Results (3-5 slides with graphs/tables)
- Discussion/implications (2-3 slides)
- Conclusion
- Thank you/contact slide

Include speaker notes for each slide.

Task 3: Visual Data Exercise

Take a dataset (provided or from your research) and create:

1. Three different visual representations (graph, table, diagram)
2. Written descriptions of what each shows

3. Analysis of which visual best communicates your point and why

Task 4: Presentation Reflection

After giving a practice presentation, write a 300-word reflection addressing:

- What went well
- What you struggled with
- Specific feedback received
- Action plan for improvement
- How you felt (nervousness, confidence, etc.)

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

Your presentation skills will be evaluated on:

Content (40%):

- Clear research question and objectives
- Logical structure and organization
- Quality of evidence and analysis
- Significance clearly articulated
- Appropriate depth for time limit

Delivery (30%):

- Voice quality (volume, pace, clarity)
- Eye contact and body language
- Confidence and poise
- Timing and pacing
- Engagement with audience

Visual Aids (20%):

- Professional design
- Readability and clarity
- Relevance to content
- Effective use of graphics
- Technical quality

Q&A (10%):

- Listening skills
- Clarity of responses

- Handling difficult questions
- Staying within time
- Professional demeanor

LESSON 4. COMMUNICATION DURING THE CONFERENCE

READING

TEXT 1: SOCIALIZING AT ACADEMIC CONFERENCES

Academic conferences serve dual purposes: formal knowledge exchange through presentations and informal networking during social interactions. Mastering conference socialization opens doors to collaborations, job opportunities, and professional friendships that can define your career.

The Importance of Networking:

Research consistently shows that professional networks significantly impact career success. Conference networking:

- Creates research collaboration opportunities
- Facilitates information sharing about jobs, funding, and resources
- Builds visibility in your field
- Provides mentorship connections
- Generates new research ideas through interdisciplinary conversations
- Establishes your professional reputation

Cultural Considerations:

Academic networking practices vary across cultures. In Western academic contexts, particularly North American and Northern European conferences, approaching strangers and initiating conversations is expected and welcomed. However, in some Asian and Middle Eastern academic cultures, introductions through mutual acquaintances are preferred.

Key cultural dimensions affecting networking:

- **Individualism vs. Collectivism**: Whether people emphasize personal achievements or group affiliations

- **Power distance**: How hierarchical relationships are navigated
- **Direct vs. Indirect communication**: Whether people state requests explicitly or implicitly
- **Formality levels**: Use of titles, formal vs. informal address

When in doubt, observe: Watch how others interact at the conference and follow local norms while being authentically yourself.

First Impressions:

Research suggests people form initial impressions within 7 seconds. At conferences, your first impression depends on:

Appearance: Professional but field-appropriate attire. Sciences and social sciences tend toward business casual; humanities might be slightly more formal. When uncertain, err on the side of being slightly overdressed.

Body language:

- Open posture (avoid crossed arms)
- Genuine smile
- Appropriate eye contact
- Firm handshake (in cultures where this is customary)
- Attentive listening

Initial words: Have a clear, concise self-introduction ready (see "The Academic Introduction" below).

The Academic Introduction:

Unlike social settings where you might just share your name, academic introductions typically include:

Components of a complete academic introduction:

1. **Name**: "Hi, I'm Dr. Sarah Chen" or "Hello, I'm Tom Rodriguez"
2. **Institutional affiliation**: "from the University of British Columbia" or "at Cambridge"
3. **Your role**: "I'm a postdoc in the biology department" or "I'm a PhD candidate studying economics"
4. **Your research focus**: "I work on coral reef restoration" or "I'm researching income inequality"

Full example: "Hi, I'm Dr. Sarah Chen from the University of British Columbia. I'm a postdoctoral researcher in marine biology, focusing on coral reef restoration strategies."

Abbreviated version for quick exchanges: "I'm Sarah Chen, a marine biology postdoc at UBC working on coral reefs."

Follow-up question: Always ask about the other person: "What brings you to the conference?" or "What's your research focus?"

TEXT 2: SMALL TALK AND BUILDING RAPPORT

Small talk – casual conversation about non-controversial topics – serves important purposes in academic settings. It establishes comfort, finds common ground, and creates rapport before discussing substantive research matters.

Appropriate Small Talk Topics:

✓ **The conference itself**:

- "Have you attended this conference before?"
- "Which sessions are you most interested in?"
- "What did you think of the keynote address?"

✓ **Travel and location**:

- "Where are you traveling from?"
- "Is this your first time in [city]?"
- "Have you had a chance to explore the city?"

✓ **Academic life (general)**:

- "How's the semester going?"
- "Are you teaching this term?"
- "How long have you been at [institution]?"

✓ **The venue and logistics**:

- "This is a great conference venue, isn't it?"
- "Have you found the coffee stations?"
- "Are you staying at the conference hotel?"

✓ **Weather** (universally safe):

- "Beautiful weather we're having!"
- "I hope the snow doesn't disrupt anyone's travel plans."

✓ **Shared experiences**:

- "That session on AI was fascinating, wasn't it?"
- "I thought the poster session was really well organized."

Topics to Avoid:

✕ **Politics** (unless it's directly your research area and in appropriate context)

✕ **Religion**

✕ **Personal finances** (except in general terms like "conference travel is expensive!")

✕ **Gossip** about other researchers or institutions

✕ **Complaints** (about the conference, your institution, your students, etc.)

✕ **Highly personal matters** in initial conversations

✕ **Controversial current events** (unless directly relevant to the conference)

Conversation Starters:

At registration: "First time at this conference? I'm excited about the session on [topic]."

During coffee breaks: "Mind if I join you? I'm [name] from [institution]."

At poster sessions: "Your poster caught my eye—I'm working on something related. Could you tell me more about your approach?"

During meals: "Is this seat taken? I'm [name], and I work on [topic]."

At social events: "This venue is impressive! Are you enjoying the conference so far?"

Exiting Conversations Gracefully:

Sometimes you need to end a conversation politely:

✓ "It's been wonderful talking with you. I should circulate a bit, but let's exchange contact information."

✓ "I don't want to monopolize your time—I know there are others you probably want to meet."

✓ "I need to grab some coffee before the next session. Great talking with you!"

✓ "Excuse me, I see my co-author over there and need to catch them quickly. Let's continue this conversation later?"

If someone else is trying to exit:

- Don't take it personally
- Respect their time
- Exchange contact information if the conversation was valuable
- Say something like, "It was great meeting you. Hope to see you around!"

TEXT 3: REGISTRATION AND ARRIVAL

Your conference experience begins with arrival and registration. Efficient navigation of these practical matters sets a positive tone and avoids stress.

Before Arrival:

Pre-registration: Most conferences offer online pre-registration, which:

- Saves time at the venue
- Often costs less than on-site registration
- Ensures you receive conference materials
- Confirms your presentation slot

Travel arrangements:

- Book flights and accommodation well in advance
- Check visa requirements for international conferences
- Consider travel insurance
- Plan to arrive the day before the conference starts (to recover from jet lag and handle any travel delays)

Conference app: Many conferences now have apps providing:

- Personalized schedule
- Maps of venue
- Abstracts and proceedings
- Messaging with other attendees
- Real-time updates

What to bring:

- Passport/ID

- Confirmation emails
- Business cards (100+ for multi-day conferences)
- Presentation backup (on USB drive, cloud storage, and email)
- Professional attire
- Notebook and pens
- Phone charger and adapter (for international travel)
- Medications and basic first aid
- Comfortable shoes (conferences involve much walking/standing)

Upon Arrival:

Finding registration: Look for:

- Signs in the venue
- Conference welcome desk
- Volunteers with conference badges
- Registration desk hours (arrive during these times)

Registration process typically involves:

1. Checking in at a desk (often alphabetically by last name)
2. Presenting ID or confirmation
3. Receiving your conference badge, program book, and materials
4. Possibly collecting meal tickets or social event vouchers

Conference materials packet usually contains:

- Name badge (wear visibly at all times)
- Program book with schedule
- Abstract book or USB drive
- Venue map
- Local area information
- Information about social events
- Bag or folder with sponsor materials

Important immediate tasks:

1. **Review the program**: Note your session times and room locations
2. **Locate key venues**: Find your presentation room, restrooms, coffee stations, poster areas
3. **Test your presentation:** Visit your presentation room during a break if possible; check equipment compatibility

4. **Check notice boards:** Last-minute room changes or updates often appear on physical or digital notice boards
5. **Set phone alarms:** Reminders for your presentations and sessions you want to attend

Troubleshooting Common Issues:

Problem: Your name isn't on the registration list. **Solution**: Have your confirmation email ready. Speak with the registration supervisor. They can usually resolve issues quickly.

Problem: You didn't receive presentation confirmation. **Solution**: Check with the session chair or program committee. Bring your acceptance email.

Problem: Equipment incompatibility (Mac vs. PC, different software versions). **Solution**: Always have a PDF backup of presentations. Arrive early to test. Carry your own adapter dongles.

Problem: Jet lag or travel fatigue. **Solution**: Stay hydrated, get natural light exposure, try to adapt to local time immediately, allow yourself breaks.

TEXT 4: NETWORKING STRATEGIES

Effective networking is strategic, not random. Approach it with clear goals and authentic interest in others.

Setting Networking Goals:

Before the conference, identify:

1. **People you want to meet**:

- Leaders in your field whose work you cite
- Potential collaborators
- Researchers at institutions where you might apply
- People whose presentations you've enjoyed

2. **Types of connections needed**:

- Research collaborators
- Mentors or advisors
- Peer support network
- Potential employers
- Publishers or editors

3. **Specific outcomes**:

- Exchange contact information with 10 new people
- Schedule a follow-up meeting with potential collaborator
- Learn about job openings in your area
- Find out about upcoming conferences or workshops
- Identify potential outlets for your work

Networking Techniques:

The conference buddy system: Early in the conference, identify someone at a similar career stage. Attend sessions together, make introductions for each other, and decompress over meals. This reduces the intimidation of solo networking.

Attend strategically:

- Go to sessions slightly outside your subfield to meet different people
- Attend social events even if shy—these are explicitly for networking
- Volunteer for conference tasks (room monitoring, session chairing) – visibility increases networking

Be a connector: Introduce people who should know each other: "Dr. Smith, this is my colleague Dr. Jones—you both work on climate modeling. Dr. Jones, Dr. Smith just published that fascinating paper on Arctic ice patterns."

Follow the 70/30 rule: Spend 70% of conversation time listening and asking questions, 30% talking about your work. People remember those who showed genuine interest in them.

Quality over quantity: Five meaningful conversations yield more value than twenty superficial exchanges. Depth matters more than breadth.

The business card exchange:

- Always carry cards in an accessible pocket
- When receiving cards, jot a quick note on the back about the conversation (later, not in front of them)
- Follow up within one week of the conference

Online networking:

- Live-tweet interesting conference points (with conference hashtag)

REFERENCES

1. Англійська мова для аспірантів природничих спеціальностей : посібник / уклад. І.М. Куліш, Г.О. Королюк. Черкаси, 2019. 105 с. URL: https://eprints.cdu.edu.ua/2839/1/English%20for%20Postgraduates-1-16.pdf
2. Ільченко О.М. Англійська для науковців. The Language of Science : підручник. 7-те вид. Київ : Видавниче підприємство "ЕДЕЛЬВЕЙС," 2022. 334 с. URL: https://files.znu.edu.ua/files/Bibliobooks/Inshi66/0048350.pdf
3. Cambridge english–ukrainian dictionary: translate from english to ukrainian. *Cambridge Dictionary | English Dictionary, Translations & Thesaurus*. URL: https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english-ukrainian/
4. McCarthy M., O'Dell F. Academic vocabulary in use. Cambridge University Press, 2016. 174 p.
5. Philiot S., Curnick L. Academic skills: reading, writing, and study skills. Oxford University Press, 2013. 95 p.
6. Science news, educational articles, expert opinion. *The Scientist*. URL: http://www.the-scientist.com
7. Oxford learner's dictionaries | find definitions, translations, and grammar explanations at oxford learner's dictionaries. *Oxford Learner's Dictionaries | Find definitions, translations, and grammar explanations at Oxford Learner's Dictionaries*. URL: https://www.oxfordlearnersdictionaries.com
8. LearnOutLoud.com - audio books, podcasts, & videos to learn from. *LearnOutLoud.com - Audio Books, Podcasts, & Videos to Learn From*. URL: http://www.learnoutloud.com

Навчальне видання

АНГЛІЙСЬКА МОВА

Методичні рекомендації

Укладач: **Ганніченко** Тетяна Анатоліївна

Формат 60х84 1/16. Ум. друк. арк. 1,5
Тираж 20 пр. Зам. №__

Надруковано у видавничому відділі
Миколаївського національного аграрного університету
54020, м. Миколаїв, вул. Георгія Гонгадзе, 9

Свідоцтво суб'єкта видавничої справи ДК № 4490 від
20.02.2013 р.